Adh₁ first intron polymorphisms in Argentinean populations of Ceratitis capitata

SB Lanzavecchia¹*, MI Remis², JL Cladera¹ & RO Zandomeni³

¹Laboratorio de Genética de Insectos de Importancia Económica, Instituto de Genética 'Ewald A. Favret', Centro de Investigaciones en Ciencias Veterinarias y Agronómicas (CICVyA), Instituto Nacional de Tecnología Agropecuaria (INTA), Castelar, Buenos Aires, Argentina, ²Laboratorio de Genética de Poblaciones Aplicada, Departamento de Ecología, Genética y Evolución, Facultad de Ciencias Exactas y Naturales, Universidad de Buenos Aires, Buenos Aires, Argentina, and ³Laboratorio de Alta Complejidad, Instituto de Microbiología y Zoología Agrícola, CICVyA, INTA, Castelar, Buenos Aires, Argentina

Accepted: 24 March 2010

Key words: population genetics, exon priming intron crossing-polymerase chain reaction, medfly, molecular markers, migration patterns, gene flow, Diptera, Tephritidae, EPIC-PCR

Abstract

DNA size polymorphisms were utilized in a study of 24 natural populations of *Ceratitis capitata* Wiedemann (Diptera: Tephritidae) from Argentina. The first intron of alcohol dehydrogenase 1 gene (Adh_1) was amplified using exon priming intron crossing-polymerase chain reaction. Three size variants were detected among the 307 samples analyzed. To better differentiate the size variants, further digestion of PCR products with the *Eco*RI restriction enzyme was carried out. Complete nucleotide sequences of the three-allele variants were obtained and single changes, insertions, deletions, and *Eco*RI recognition sites were located. Population allele frequencies were analyzed and a global mean heterozygosity (He) of 0.33 was obtained. In most populations, observed allelic frequencies conformed to Hardy–Weinberg expectations. Significant differences between provinces and sampling sites within these provinces, and among some populations were found. The average number of insects exchanged among populations (Nm) was estimated and high values were observed between Argentina and populations from two African countries (Morocco and Kenya), Australia, and Hawaii (Kauai). Pest introduction sources and dispersion patterns in Argentina are discussed based on these results as well as on available bibliographical data.

Introduction

Ceratitis capitata Wiedemann (Diptera: Tephritidae), commonly known as the medfly, is one of the most important fruit and vegetable pests with an extensive variety of host species (Liquido et al., 1991). It has been able to adapt to a variety of environmental conditions and has migrated from its origin in equatorial Africa to tropical and temperate regions around the world (Carey, 1991). Its presence in Argentina was first recorded in an orchard near the city of Buenos Aires (Vergani, 1952). It was later reported in the commercial orchards of the north-eastern and north-western regions of the country (cf. Segura et al., 2006). It causes significant economic losses in infested areas, mainly because of direct damage to the fruit and the restrictions

*Correspondence: Silvia Lanzavecchia, CICVyA-INTA, N. Repetto & Los Reseros, CC 25, B1712WAA Castelar, Buenos Aires, Argentina. E-mail: slanzavecchia@cnia.inta.gov.ar imposed on fresh fruit imports to 'medfly-free' countries. The PROCEM (Programa Nacional de Control y Erradicación de Moscas de los Frutos) has obtained medfly-free areas in Argentina (Cosenzo, 2003; Malavasi & Mangan, 2004), mainly by means of toxic baits and Sterile Insect Technique (SIT; Knipling, 1959).

Molecular markers are powerful tools in eradication programs against agricultural pest species. In particular, the alcohol dehydragenase (Adh) system has potential utility in genetic sexing for the improvement of SIT (Robinson et al., 1986), and in germ-line transformation (Robinson et al., 1988), and it provides useful markers for population analysis. The *C. capitata Adh* system consists of two tightly linked genes Adh_1 and Adh_2 resulting from a gene duplication on the left arm of chromosome 2 (Malacrida et al., 1992). Both genes exhibit different temporal and developmental expression profiles (Gasperi et al., 1992, 1994). The coding regions of both genes are interrupted by introns. In general, Adh introns are relatively short

© 2010 The Authors *Entomologia Experimentalis et Applicata* **136**: 53–65, 2010 Journal compilation © 2010 The Netherlands Entomological Society

(between 70 and 162 bp) for insects, with the exception of the first intron of Adh_1 in *C. capitata*, which is very long and polymorphic in size.

Nuclear introns with unknown sequences can be analyzed by exon priming intron crossing-polymerase chain reaction (EPIC-PCR) using complementary primers to flanking exons with conserved sequences across species (Lessa & Applebaum, 1993; Slade et al., 1993). There are several examples of EPIC-PCR analysis of population structure and bioinvasion dynamics of invasive insect populations (Palumbi & Baker, 1994; He & Haymer, 1997; Villablanca et al., 1998). Moreover, Gomulski et al. (1998) studied the size variation of the Adh_1 first intron in 16 populations of C. capitata from five geographical regions around the world. These authors demonstrated an association between the geographical dispersal of the medfly from its source area and a gradual reduction in intron variability. A similar loss in variability was deduced using allozyme analysis (Malacrida et al., 1998). The nucleotide sequence of Adh₁ first intron in C. capitata was determined and further phylogenetic analysis suggested that the shortest intron variant (Ke-1400) and a medium size variant (Ke-1970) may represent the ancestral forms of the intron, whereas the longest variant evolved later (Gomulski et al., 2004).

Here, we studied the variability of the first Adh_1 intron in 24 wild populations of *C. capitata* from Argentina using EPIC-PCR. Analyses of population structure and variability distribution were performed to understand the genetic aspects of the colonization of South America, as well as to obtain information on the evolutionary potential of this pest.

Materials and methods

Population sampling and DNA extraction

Ceratitis capitata adult flies and larvae were collected between 1998 and 2002. Adult flies were sampled using Jackson or McPhail traps from the provinces of Mendoza, Río Negro, San Juan, and La Rioja. Larvae found inside infested fruit were collected from the remaining provinces and reared to adulthood under laboratory conditions. The insects (either trapped or reared from fruit) were washed with TE buffer (10 mM Tris–HCl, 10 mM EDTA, pH 8) and stored at -20 °C until DNA isolation. Total DNA was isolated from adult flies based on the protocol described by Baruffi et al. (1995).

In total, 307 individuals were analyzed from 17 provinces (24 sampling sites), representing the main fruitproducing regions of Argentina. The sampling sites were grouped into six biogeographical regions (Cabrera & Willink, 1980): 'Las Yungas' [La Rioja B (LRB), La Rioja C (LRC), Salta (SA), Jujuy P (JUP), Jujuy Y (JUY), Tucumán 1 (TU1), Tucumán 2 (TU2), Tucumán 3 (TU3)], 'Chaquena' [Córdoba (CD), Santa Fe (SF), Corrientes 2 (CO2), Santiago del Estero (SG), Formosa (FS), Catamarca (CA), Chaco (CH)], 'Pampeana' [Entre Ríos 1 (ER1), Entre Rios 2 (ER2), Buenos Aires (BA)], 'Monte' [San Juan (SJ), Mendoza 1 (ME1), Mendoza 2 (ME2), Río Negro (RN)], 'Espinal' [Corrientes 1 (CO1)], and 'Paranaense' [Misiones (MI)] (Table 2).

Polymerase chain reaction amplification

The Adh_1 first intron was amplified using EPIC-PCR and NESTED-PCR (using the PCR product as a template). Amplification products were separated by electrophoresis in 1.5% (wt/vol) agarose gel in 0.5× TBE buffer (Sambrook et al., 1989), with a 1 kb DNA ladder (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA) as a molecular weight marker.

Exon priming intron crossing-polymerase chain reaction reactions were carried out in 20 μ l final volume in buffer (50 mM Tris–HCl pH 8.3; 2% sucrose; 3 mM MgCl₂; 250 μ g ml⁻¹ BSA; 200 μ M dNTPs; 1 ng μ l⁻¹ DNA; 0.5 μ M primers; *Taq* polymerase 1 U per assay) using ADH1 (5'-GGAAGCTTGCTTATGAAGCCTGCA-AGT-3') and ADH2 (5'-ACTTTGGTTTTTGGGTTGAT-TTCC-3') primers described by Gomulski et al. (1998). The amplification program has an initial denaturalization step of 2 min at 94 °C, 35 repeating cycles of 30 s at 94 °C, 1 min at 63 °C, and 3 min at 72 °C, followed by a final temperature ramp of 0.1 °C s⁻¹ from 72 to 50 °C in a Mastercycler Gradient Eppendorf Thermocycler (Eppendorf, Hamburg, Germany).

NESTED-PCR was performed using EPIC-PCR product as a template (3 μ l of 1:50 dilution) with ADHfn (5'-ATGAACAATGACTTGGC-3') and ADHrn (5'-CGT-CGAACACGAACAACG-3') primers, designed in this study based on the available DNA sequence (AN Z30194) of the flanking exon regions. They are located 27 bases internal to the original forward primer, and 49 bases internal to the original reverse primer. The cycling program includes a 2-min denaturation step at 94 °C, 40 repeating cycles of 30 s at 94 °C, 30 s at 55 °C, and 2 min at 72 °C in a Mastercycler Gradient Eppendorf Thermocycler.

NESTED-polymerase chain reaction product digestion

Representative NESTED-PCR fragments of the detected size variants were analyzed with 14 restriction enzymes: *Eco*RI, *Hind*III, *Cla*I, *Bam*HI, *Pst*I, *Eco*RV, *Vsp*I, *Eco*ICRI, *Xma*I, *Hpa*II, *Eco*52I, and *Bst*EII (Promega, Madison, WI, USA), and *MnI*I and *Mse*I (New England Biolabs, Ispwich, MA, USA). Polymerase chain reaction product (200 ng) was incubated for 2 h at 37 °C with 1 U of each restriction enzyme (separated assays) in the appropriate reaction

buffer. The restriction products were visualized by electrophoresis in 2% (wt/vol) agarose gel in 0.5× TBE buffer (Sambrook et al., 1989).

Size-variant inheritance

To study size-variant segregation in the offspring, 14 pairs of adult individuals (parents) and their brood were analyzed. Adult flies were reared and mated under laboratory conditions in IGEAF INTA, Castelar, Buenos Aires, Argentina. DNA samples from parents and offspring were analyzed by EPIC-PCR, NESTED-PCR, and *Eco*RI (5' GVAATTC 3') (Promega) restriction assay. Three parent couples were selected according to their size-variant distribution. A minimum of 10 individuals from their brood were randomly selected and analyzed.

Sequencing of the Adh1 first intron

The PCR products of three size variants of the Adh_1 first intron detected were eluted from agarose plugs and cloned using pGEM-T Easy kit (Promega). Sequences were obtained using an automatic ABI PRISM[™] 377 Sequencer (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA, USA) with a 'DYEnamic ET terminator cycle sequencing kit' (GE Healthcare, Little Chalfont, Buckinghamshire, UK). Data analysis was performed in a Macintosh computer system using SEQUENCING ANALYSIS ver. 3.4.1 and SEQED ver. 1.0.3 programs (Applied Biosystem). Intron walking was initiated with vector primers. Afterwards, the following primers were used (in this order): ADH1, ADH2 (Gomulski et al., 1998), ADH-SF1 (5'-GAGGTCAAAGCGAATT-CACC-3'), ADH-SR1 (5'-CGAAGGGTTATCCATC-3'), R1 (5'-GAATTGCCGAACATGATGGC-3'), F2 (5'-AG-CAAGCTCAGAAGGCCG-3'), F1 (5'-GGAGTTAGTC-AAGTTGTGACG-3'), 4R3 (5'-GCGGTATTTCTACAA-TAAATC-3'), 4R2 (5'-ACATAAGATCGGCCTTTCGC-3'), and 3R2 (5'-CGAATTGACAGCGGCAGTCTCG-3'). These primers were designed from the sequences obtained.

The sequences were processed using Staden Package (Staden, 1996) and aligned using Bioedit (Hall, 1999) and ClustalX 1.81 (Thompson et al., 1997). Consensus sequences of each allele were compared with the nucleotide sequence databases, using the US National Institute of Health's Blastn 2.2.10 (Basic Local Aligment Search Tool; Altschul et al., 1990).

Population variability analysis

Allele frequencies, heterozygosity (Nei, 1987), and Hardy– Weinberg equilibrium (Guo & Thompson, 1992) were estimated for each sampling site using Arlequin v. 2.000 (Schneider et al., 2000). The Kruskal–Wallis test was employed to compare H estimates among populations using the STATISTICA program (StatSoft, 2001). Samples of provinces were classified into biogeographical regions to analyze genetic structure. Sampling sites within each province were grouped in future analysis, except for CO1 and CO2 which are located in different biogeographical provinces. The distribution of genetic variability at various hierarchical levels was analyzed using two approaches, viz. analysis of F-statistics (Wright, 1951) and analysis of molecular variance (AMOVA) (Excoffier et al., 1992). F-statistics were estimated using the BIOSYS program (Swofford & Selander, 1981) and AMOVA was performed using the Arlequin v. 2.000 software (Schneider et al., 2000).

Pairwise differentiation analyses of provinces and sampling sites were performed using the Fisher exact test with TFPGA program (Miller, 1997). Pairwise gene flow (Nm: numbers of migrants per generation) was estimated using the method described by Slatkin (1985), which is based on the distribution of rare alleles. The Nm pairwise comparison with other regions of the world was performed using allele frequencies estimated by Gomulski et al. (1998) and the frequencies estimated in the present work from the sampling performed in Argentina.

Results

Only three size variants of approximately 2 700, 2 600, and 2 000 bp, were identified in 307 individuals from wild populations of *C. capitata* in Argentina using EPIC-PCR (Figure 1A). A NESTED-PCR was developed to increase sensitivity and specificity. The size variants were scored as 2 515, 2 461, and 1 952 according to the NESTED-PCR product size determined by sequencing. A screening of restriction enzymes was performed so that the three alleles could be scored. Fourteen restriction enzymes were included. Digestion with *Eco*RI facilitated the differentiation between similar-sized variants (2 515 and 2 461), which were separated using agarose electrophoresis (Figure 1B).

Size-variant segregation analysis

Three parent couples and 10 individuals from their offspring were genotyped for the Adh_1 first intron. The segregation obtained indicated a simple Mendelian segregation of the three alleles at the Adh_1 locus (Table 1).

Sequence analysis

Complete sequences of the three alleles were obtained. The sequences exhibited high variability, including point mutations and insertion/deletions (indels). The most important indel (562 bp coordinates 1 444–2 006; Figure 2) explained the size differences between variant 1 952 and the two other sequences, whereas the presence of



Figure 1 *Adh*₁ first intron size variants of *Ceratitis capitata*. (A) Lanes 1, 3–7: EPIC-PCR products of San Juan individuals with genotypes 2 461/2 461, 2 461/1 952, 2 515/1 952, 2 515/2 461, 2 515/2 461, and 2 461/2 461, respectively. Lane 2: 1 Kb DNA ladder (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA). (B) Size variants *Eco*RI digestion. Lanes 1–6: NESTED-PCR products of six individuals from San Juan with genotypes 2 461/1 952, 2 515/2 461, 2 461/2 461, 2 515/1 952, and 2 461/2 461, respectively. Lane 7: 1 Kb DNA Ladder.

shorter indels explained the differences between size variants 2 515 and 2 461.

Consensus sequences from two clones of each variant were compared with the NCBI nucleotide database. Size variant 1 952 (GQ503646) showed a 99% similarity with the sequence AY 426620 (variant Be-2060 described by Gomulski et al., 2004). A 96% similarity was observed between size variant 2 461 (GQ503645), and the published sequence AY426619 (described as variant Be-2590 by Gomulski et al., 2004). There was no identical sequence to size variant 2 515 (GQ503644), although lower similarities

Table 1 Adh_1 first intron size-variant segregation in the offspringfrom controled crosses in *Ceratitis capitata*. Parents and offspringgenotypes were scored according to the size variants obtained byNESTED-PCR as 2 515, 2 461, and 1 952

| | Offspring (no. individuals) | | | | | | | | | | | |
|------------------------------|-----------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|-----------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Cross | 2 461/ 2 461 | 2 461/ 2 515 | 2 461/ 1 952 | 1 952/ 1 952 | | | | | | | | |
| 2 461/1 952 × 2 461/2 461 | 5 | | 5 | | | | | | | | | |
| 2 461/2 461 × 2 461/2 515 | 4 | 5 | | | | | | | | | | |
| 1 952/1 952 × 2 461/2 461 | | | 10 | | | | | | | | | |

were detected with some entries. We suggest that 2 515 corresponds to variant 2 670 described by Gomulski et al. (1998) and we provide its nucleotide sequence here.

Mariner pseudo-sequences (coordinate 364–1 010 in Figure 2) with 641 bp were identified in the nucleotide sequences of all three alleles. The ITR (inverted terminal repeats) sequence found at the extreme of this pseudo-sequence showed identical nucleotide sequence to the ITR of *Ccmar*1 transposed element (Gomulski et al., 1997; GenBank accession number U76905).

The introns of variants 2 515, 2 461, and 1 952 are 2 479, 2 425, and 1 917 bp long, respectively, and the size of the EPIC-PCR products are 2 606, 2 551, and 2 043, respectively. The structure and size of the Adh_1 variants were analyzed and EcoRI restriction sites were located on the nucleotide sequence (Figure 2). Allele 2 515 shows three EcoRI restriction sites for this restriction enzyme. EcoR1 digestion of variant 2 515 generates four DNA fragments of 341, 459, 1 545, and 170 bp. Three fragments upon EcoR1 digestion were obtained for 2 461 and 1 952 variants, with sizes of 336, 455, and 1 670 bp for variant 2 461, and 339, 454, and 1 159 bp for variant 1 952.

Population variability: Adh1 first intron analysis

Of the Adh_1 first intron variants detected, allele 2 461 is widespread in all populations studied, whereas alleles 2 515 and 1 952 were found in much lower frequency (Table 2). A high level of genetic variation was found in *C*. capitata from Argentina. All sampling sites studied, except JUP, were polymorphic for the Adh_1 first intron. The expected mean heterozygosity (He) was 0.33 and the mean observed heterozygosity (Ho) was 0.28. The observed and expected heterozygosity within the sampling sites showed a considerable amount of variation. The observed heterozygosity ranged from 0 to 0.71 and expected heterozygosity ranged from 0 to 0.59 (Table 2). Kruskal-Wallis tests indicated that biogeographical region did not have a significant impact on the genetic diversity measurement (P>0.05). Three (CO2, MI, and SF) out of the 24 sampling sites exhibit deviations from the expected values of Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium (Table 2).

Hierarchical analysis of variation through AMOVA (Table 3) did not reveal significant differences between biogeographical regions, but significant differences (P<0.05) were detected between and within provinces (Table 3). Similar results were obtained when the F_{ST} hierarchical analysis was performed (Table 4). Both analyses showed that most variations detected could be explained by a significant differentiation among provinces, whereas no significant differentiation was observed between biogeographical regions in any analysis.

Allele 2515 1 ATGAACAATGACTTGGCGGTAAGATGAAGAGAATATGACTTTAAAAACATTAACAGAAATTCGATAAAAA 70 Allele 2461 1 atgaacaatgacttggcggtaagatgaagagaacatgacttt-----ttttaacaaaaatttgatgaaag 65 Allele 1952 1 ATGAACAATGACTTGGCGGTAAGATGAAGAGAACATGACTTT----TTTTAACAAAAATTTGATGAAAG 65 Allele 71 AAAA-CTTTATAAAATATAGCAAAAGTGCATAAATGTATTGAAATCGCTTTAAATTTATAAGAATTTAAAT Allele 2461 66 Allele 1952 66 AAAA-CTTTATAAAATATAGCAAAAGTGCATAAATGTATTGAAATCGCTTTAAATTTATAAGAATTTAAT 134 | | | | | | | | | | | | TGATATAAATGCGTTATGAACGAGTAAATAGATATGTGTTAA-AAAAGCTAACTAAAACTAGTGTAATTT 209 Allele 2515 141 Allele 135 135 Allele 205 Allele Allele Allele 277 Allele 2515 347 CCATTAAAGAAAATGTTGGATGAGTGCATAAGTTCGTGCCCGATTTCAAGTGCAAACTAAAATTGAAATT 416 Allele 342 CCATTAAAGAAAATATTGGATGAGTGCATAAGTTCGTGCCCGATTTCAAGTGCAAACTAAAATTGAGATT 411 Allele 1952 345 CCATTAAAGAAAATATTGGATGAGTGCATAAGTTCGTGCCCGATTTCAAGTGCAAACTAAAGTTGAAATT 414 Allele 2515 417 TTTGAAGAAAGCGCTTTTATTAATCAATTATGTATTCGCCATCATGTTCAGCAATTCACTCCCAACGTT 486 Allele 412 TTTTGAAGAAAGCGCTTTTATTAATCAATTATGTATTCGCCATCATGTTCGGCAATTCACTCCCAACGTT 481 Allele 1952 415 TTTTGAAGAAAGCGCTTTTATTAATCAATTATGTATTCGCCATCATGTTCGGCAATTCACTCCCCAACGTT 484 Allele 487 CAACCAATTTACGGATGCCTGGTTCATAGAAATCGTGCGGTTTCTCGTTGAAAGACGAAACGCAGTTGAT 556 Allele 2461 482 CAACCAATTTACGGATGCCTGATTCATAGAAAATCGCGCGGTTTCTCGTTGAAAGACCAAACGCAGTTGAT 551 Allele 1952 485 CAACCAATTTACGGATGCCTGATTCATAGAAATCGCGCGGTTTCTCGTTGAAAGACCAAACGCAGTTGAT 554 TTGTTGTTGTTCAATTCACTGGCAACCTCTTCCGTCGAAATACGTGAGTTATTCGCAACTAAAGTCCTTA 626 Allele 2515 557 Allele 552 TTGTTGACGTTGA--TTGTTGACG--TTATTCCGCCGAAATACGTGAGTTATTCGCAACTAAGGTCCTTA 617 Allele 555 TTGTTGACATTGA--TTGTTGACG--TTATTCCGCCGAAATACGTGAGTTATTCGCAACTAAGGTCCTTA 620 GAACATCGTCAACAAGCTCAGAAGGCCGTCCACTGCGAGGTTGATCTTCGAGGTTAAAATCTCCAGAACG 696 Allele 2515 627 Allele 618 GAACATCGTCAGCAAGCTCAGAAGGCCGTCCACTGCGAGGTTGATCTTCGAGGTTAAAATCTCCAGAACG 687 Allele 1952 621 GAACATCGTCAGCAAGCTCAGAAGGCCGTCCACTGCGAGGTTGATCTTCGAGGTTAAAATCTCCCAGAACG 690 Allele 2515 697 AAATTTCGCGAACCATTTCTTTACTGTGCGGAGTGCTGGAGCACGGTCGAAATAAACTTCGCGAATATCT 766 Allele 2461 688 Allele 1952 691

Figure 2 Adh_1 first intron sequence alignment in *Ceratitis capitata* Argentinean populations. *Eco*RI recognition sites are in bold and underlined, nucleotide identities are in gray.

| | | | 780 | 790 | 800 | 810 | 820 | 830 | 840 | | |
|--------|------|------|--------------------|---------------------|--------------------|-------------------|---------------------|---------------------|-----------|----------|-----------|
| | | | | . | | | | | 1 | | |
| Allele | 2515 | 767 | TTAGATGAAGCGCCTA | CTGTTTTTCC | TTTGCG GAAT | TC GTATTTG | TAACATAATA | IGACGCATATO | GATCT 836 | | |
| Allele | 2461 | 758 | TTAGTTGCAGCGCCTA | CTGTTTTTCC | TTTGCG GAAT | TCGTAT | AACATAATT | IGACGCATATO | GATCT 823 | | |
| Allele | 1952 | 761 | T-AGTTGCAGCGCCTA | CTGTTTTTCC | TTTGCG GAAT | TCGTAT | AACATAATT | IGGCGCATATO | GATCT 825 | | |
| | | | 850 | 860 | 870 | 880 | 890 | 900 | 910 | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Allele | 2515 | 837 | TTTTCGTTGTCCATCT | ICCATGCGTC | TTTTCACTAA | GCAACTCAA | ATCAAAATGA | AGTTATTTAF | GCTT 906 | | |
| Allele | 2461 | 824 | TTTTCGTTGTCCATCT | ICCATGCGTC | TTTTCACTAA | GCAACTCAA | ATCAAAATGA# | AGTTACTTTA | GCTT 893 | | |
| Allele | 1952 | 826 | TTTTCGTTGTCCATCC | ааатсаааат | AAAGTTATTT | TTTGCTTGA | TTTACAGTTAC | GGACTGTC | CCTT 893 | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | 920 | 930 | 940 | 950 | 960 | 970 | 980 | | |
| | | | | | •••• | | •••• •••• • | ••••• | •••• | | |
| Allele | 2515 | 907 | GATTTATACGGTATAT | ATATTTCTTT | CACGAGCGCA | ACTCTCAAA | CGATTCGAACO | GGCATCCAGCO | GAAT 976 | | |
| Allele | 2461 | 894 | GATTTATATGGTATAT | ATATTTCTTT | CACGAGCGCC | CACTCTCAAA | CGATTCGAACO | GCCATCCAGCO | GAAAT 963 | | |
| Allele | 1952 | 893 | TCCAACGATAT | ATATTTCTTT | CACGAGCGCC | ACTCTCAAA | CGATTCGAACO | GCATCCAGCO | GGAAT 958 | | |
| | | | 990 | 1000 | 1010 | 1020 | 1030 | 1040 | 1050 | | |
| | | | 330 | 1000 | 1010 | 1020 | 1030 | 1040 | 1050 | | |
| Allele | 2515 | 977 | CEGECACEAACTTATE | | ататааасса | | | | 1046 | | |
| Allele | 2461 | 964 | CGGGCACGAACTTATG | астсатоса | ататааасса | АСАААААТА | татсааатса | rtrattrataaz | AAAA 1033 | | |
| Allele | 1952 | 959 | CGGGCACGAACTTATG | CACTCATCCA | ATATAAACCA | адааааата | TATCAAATGA | ΓΤΑΑΤΤΤΑΑΑΖ | AAAA 1028 | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | 1060 | 1070 | 1080 | 1090 | 1100 | 1110 | 1120 | | |
| | | | | . | | | | . | | | |
| Allele | 2515 | 1047 | GTTGAATTTCAATTCT | ICTTCAGAAA | CTGGAGCATG | GAATGAAAAT | ATAAAATTAAA | AACAAATAAAT | GAGT 1116 | | |
| Allele | 2461 | 1034 | GTTGAATTTCAATTCT | ICTTCAGAAA | CTGGAGCATG | GAATGAAAAT | АТААААТТАА | ААСАААТАААТ | GAGT 1103 | | |
| Allele | 1952 | 1028 | -TTGAATTTCAATTCT | ICTTCAGAAA | CTGGAGCATG | GAATGAAATT | ATAAAATTAA | AACAAATAAA | GAGT 1097 | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | 1130 | 1140 | 1150 | 1160 | 1170 | 1180 | 1190 | | |
| | | | | . | | | | . | | | |
| Allele | 2515 | 1117 | AAATTTTCTTTTTCTT? | AATTAAA | AAAAATTTAA | CTCAAAACA | AATCAACACCI | IGCTTTATAC <i>I</i> | AATA 1183 | | |
| Allele | 2461 | 1104 | AAATTTTCTTATTCAA | ААТТААСААА | АААААТТААА | CGCAAAACA | AATCAACGCCI | IGCTTTATACA | AATA 1173 | | |
| Allele | 1952 | 1098 | AAATTTTCTTTTTCTT | ААТТААА | AAAAATTAAG | CTCAAAACA | AATCAACGCCI | IGCTTTATAC <i>I</i> | AATA 1164 | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | 1200 | 1210 | 1220 | 1230 | 1240 | 1250 | 1260 | | |
| | 0515 | | | | | | | . | | | |
| Allele | 2515 | 1184 | CTGTTTTTT-CAAAAT | ATTTTAAATT | CAATTCTCAA | TAAAGGGGT | CCTAGTTTTCA | AAACTTTATAA | TAAA 1252 | | |
| Allele | 2461 | 11/4 | CTGTTTTTT-CAGAAT | | CAGTTCTCAA | TAAAGGGGT | OTTAGTTTTCA | AAACTTTTTAA | TAAA 1242 | | |
| Allele | 1952 | 1165 | UTGTTTTTTTCAAAATA | ATTTTAAATT | CAATTCTUAA | TAAAGGGGT | CCTAGTTTTCA | AAACTTTATAA | TAAA 1234 | | |
| | | | 1270 | 1280 | 1290 | 1300 | 1310 | 1320 | 1330 | | |
| | | | | . | | | . | . | | | |
| Allele | 2515 | 1253 | AAAATGTCGACAAAAT | AATTTAATTT | AAAAGAAAAT | ATAGAAAAT | TTAAAGCAACI | TATATATGCO | GTAA 1322 | | |
| Allele | 2461 | 1243 | AAAATGTCGAAAAAAA | AATGTCA | AAAAAAG | ATAGAAAAA | TTATAGGAACI | TATAAATGCO | GTAA 1306 | | |
| Allele | 1952 | 1235 | AAAGTGTCGACAAAAT | AATTTAATTT | AAAAGAAAAG | GATAGAAAAT | TTAAAGCAACI | TATATATGCO | GTAA 1304 | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | 1340 | 1350 | 1360 | 1370 | 1380 | 1390 | 1400 | | |
| | | | | . | | | | . | 1 | | |
| Allele | 2515 | 1323 | TTTTATGATTTATTGT | AGAAATACCG | CAAACAAACT | AATATTTGA | AAATTATTAGA | ATTTTTTAATI | TTAA 1392 | | |
| Allele | 2461 | 1307 | TTTAATGATTTATTGT | AGAAATACCG | CAAACAAACT | AATATTTGA | AAAATATTGG <i>A</i> | ATTTTTTGAT1 | TTAA 1376 | | |
| Allele | 1952 | 1305 | TTTTATGATTTATTGT | AGAAATACCG | CAAACAAACT | AATATTTGA | AAATTATTAG <i>I</i> | ATTTTTTAAT1 | TTAA 1374 | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | 1410 | 1420 | 1430 | 1440 | 1450 | 1460 | 1470 | | |
| Allelo | 2515 | 1303 | AACTTTATATACAACA | •••• •••• • •••• | . Садтдаталт | АСДДТТСРА | . AATATACTTA? | . ATTTACTTA | | | |
| Allele | 2461 | 1377 | AACTTCATATATACAACA | ATACACAATA | САТТААТААТ | ACAATTGAA | АДТАТАСТТА | ATTTACTING ATTAC | AATG 1446 | | |
| Allele | 1952 | 1375 | AACTTTATATACAACA | ATACACAATA | CAATAATAAT | ACAATTG | | | 1417 | | |
| | | | | | | | | | ± + ± / | | |
| | | | 1480 | 1490 | 1500 | 1510 | 1520 | 1530 | 1540 | | |
| | | | | | | | | . | | | |
| Allele | 2515 | 1463 | AATTTACATATCAAAA | ITACGTGATC | TCCAATGTAC | CGAGCTGAA | TTTTGAAAATT | TATCAAACTTA | ATTA 1532 | | |
| Allele | 2461 | 1447 | ААТТТАСАТАТСАААА | TTATGTGATT | TCCAATGTAC | TGGGCTGAA | TTTTGAAAATT | FATCAAACTT | ATTA 1516 | | |
| Allele | 1952 | 1417 | | | | | | | 1417 | Figure 2 | Continued |
| | | | | | | | | | | <u> </u> | |

Allele 1952 1653 ATATTAGCTTAAAAGGTTTAAAAAATAATATCTGATAAATATCAGATCAGAATCGGTATATTATCCGGAAA 1722

Figure 2 Continued

| | | | 2320 | 2330 | 2340 | 2350 | 2360 | 2370 | 2380 | | | | | |
|---------------|------|------|--|-------------|------------|------------|--------------------|--------------------|------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| | | | | | | | | | 1 | | | | | |
| Allele | 2515 | 2296 | AAATTAAAATTTGTT | AATAGAAAT- | ATGTTTAC | CAAAATATGT | tgtaga gaat | TC AAAACAAA | TACAC 2362 | | | | | |
| Allele | 2461 | 2227 | АААТТААСААТААТС | ААААСАААТТ | ATATGTTTAC | GAAAATATGT | TGTAAAGAAT' | TTAAAAAAAA | TACAC 2296 | | | | | |
| Allele | 1952 | 1723 | IAATTAACACTAATTAATATAAAATATGTTTAGCAAAATATGTTGCAAAGAATTAAAAAAAAAA | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | 2390 | 2400 | 2410 | 2420 | 2430 | 2440 | 2450 | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Allele | 2515 | 2363 | ΤΤΤΤΤΤΑΤΑΑΑΑΤΑΑΑ | TTTTTTTTTT | TTGAAAGAAA | AGCTATCCCC | TAAAATTGTT | GTATTGCAAA | ATTAT 2432 | | | | | |
| Allele | 2461 | 2297 | TTTTTATAAAATAAA | TTTTTTTTTT | TTGAAAGAAA | AGCTATCCCC | TAAAATTGTT | gtattgctaa | ATTAT 2366 | | | | | |
| Allele | 1952 | 1790 | ΑΤΤΤΤΑΤΑΑΑΑΤΑΑ | TTTTTTTT | ITGAAGGAAA | AGCTATCCCC | TAAAATTGTT | GTATTGCAAA | ATTAT 1857 | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | 2460 | 2470 | 2480 | 2490 | 2500 | 2510 | 2520 | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Allele | 2515 | 2433 | TACCCTCTAATGATA | ITGCATTTA | | -TTTCTATCA | АТТАСААААА | ACAATCTCTT | CTCTT 2490 | | | | | |
| Allele | 2461 | 2367 | TACCCTCTAATAATA | TTGCATTTAT: | ITCTATTTT | ATTTCTATCA | АТТАСААААА | ACAATCTCTT | CTCTT 2436 | | | | | |
| Allele | 1952 | 1858 | TACCCTCTAATAATA | TTGCATTTAT: | TTATATTTT | ATTTCTATCA | АТТАСААААА | ACAATCTCTT | CTCTT 1927 | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | 253 | 30 25 | 40 | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| Allele | 2515 | 2491 | CTTCAGTCGTTGTTC | GTGTTCGACG | 2515 | | | | | | | | | |
| Allele | 2461 | 2437 | CTTCAGTCGTTGTTC | GTGTTCGACG | 2461 | | | | | | | | | |
| Allele | 1952 | 1928 | CTTCAGTCGTTGTTC | STGTTCGACG | 1952 | | | | | | | | | |

Figure 2 Continued

 Table 2
 Allele frequencies and genetic diversity parameters for the Adh₁ first intron in 24 populations of Ceratitis capitata

| Biogeographical | | Sampling | | Allele fre | quency | | Heteroz | | |
|------------------|-----------------------------|----------|----|------------|--------|-------|-------------------|----------------|-----------|
| region | Province | site | n | 2 515 | 2 461 | 1 952 | Но | He | P-value |
| Las Yungas | Jujuy (JU) | JUP | 7 | 0.000 | 1.000 | 0.000 | 0.000 0.000 0.000 | | _ |
| | | JUY | 6 | 0.250 | 0.667 | 0.083 | 0.330 | 0.530 | 0.510 |
| | La Rioja (LR) | LRB | 15 | 0.000 | 0.867 | 0.133 | 0.270 | 0.240 | 1.000 |
| | | LRC | 15 | 0.067 | 0.867 | 0.067 | 0.270 | 0.250 | 1.000 |
| | Salta (SA) | SA | 15 | 0.200 | 0.767 | 0.033 | 0.330 | 0.39 | 0.590 |
| | Tucuman (TU) | TU1 | 7 | 0.143 | 0.857 | 0.000 | 0.290 | 0.260 | 1.000 |
| | | TU2 | 7 | 0.071 | 0.857 | 0.071 | 0.290 | 0.280 | 1.000 |
| | | TU3 | 14 | 0.071 | 0.929 | 0.000 | 0.140 | 0.140 | 1.000 |
| Chaqueña | Catamarca (CA) | CA | 15 | 0.167 | 0.733 | 0.100 | 0.400 | 0.440 | 0.130 |
| | Cordoba (CD) | CD | 14 | 0.071 | 0.929 | 0.000 | 0.140 | 0.140 | 1.000 |
| | Chaco (CH) | CH | 15 | 0.200 | 0.800 | 0.000 | 0.130 | 0.330 | 0.050 |
| | Formosa (FS) | FS | 8 | 0.125 | 0.875 | 0.000 | 0.250 | 0.230 | 1.000 |
| | Santa Fe (SF) | SF | 13 | 0.500 | 0.423 | 0.077 | 0.150 | 0.590 | < 0.001** |
| | Santiago del Estero (SG) | SG | 8 | 0.000 | 0.813 | 0.188 | 0.380 | 0.330 | 1.000 |
| | Corrientes (CO) | CO2 | 7 | 0.286 | 0.643 | 0.071 | 0.140 | 0.540 | 0.020* |
| Espinal | | CO1 | 7 | 0.357 | 0.643 | 0.000 | 0.710 | 0.490 | 0.440 |
| Monte | Mendoza (ME) | ME1 | 15 | 0.233 | 0.733 | 0.033 | 0.400 | 0.420 | 1.000 |
| | | ME2 | 15 | 0.200 | 0.667 | 0.133 | 0.530 | 0.520 | 0.520 |
| | Rio Negro (RN) | RN | 15 | 0.033 | 0.933 | 0.033 | 0.130 | 0.130 | 1.000 |
| | San Juan | SJ | 15 | 0.100 | 0.867 | 0.033 | 0.200 | 0.250 | 0.200 |
| Pampeana | Buenos Aires | BA | 15 | 0.100 | 0.800 | 0.100 | 0.400 | 0.350 | 1.000 |
| | Entre Rios (ER) | ER1 | 15 | 0.167 | 0.800 | 0.033 | 0.330 | 0.340 | 0.190 |
| | | ER2 | 29 | 0.155 | 0.793 | 0.052 | 0.410 | 0.350 | 1.000 |
| Paranaense | Misiones | MI | 15 | 0.133 | 0.833 | 0.033 | 0.130 | 0.300 | 0.020* |
| Mean value SE | | | | 0.151 | 0.796 | 0.053 | 0.281 0.030 | 0.327 0.030 | |

*Significant differences; **highly significant differences (Guo and Thompson test).

n, number of analyzed individuals; Ho, observed heterozygosity; He, expected heterozygosity.

| Source | Variance | Total (%) | F |
|--|----------------------|--------------|----------------------|
| Among biogeographical regions | -0.00410Va | -2.24 | $F_{CT} = -0.02238$ |
| Among provinces within biogeographical regions | 0.01722Vb | 9.41 | $F_{SC} = 0.09201^*$ |
| Within provinces Total | 0.16990Vc 0.18302 | 92.83 100 | $F_{ST} = 0.07169^*$ |

*P<0.05. F = F-statistics: Va, Vb, and Vc represent the molecular variation among biogeographical regions, provinces within biogeographical regions, and within provinces, respectively.

 Table 4
 F-statistics hierarchical analysis among biogeographical
 regions, provinces, and provinces within regions in Ceratitis capitata

| Comparison | Variance | F _{XY} | % genetic diversity |
|---|----------|-----------------|------------------------|
| Among biogeographical regions (CT) | -0.00888 | -0.013 | -1.3 |
| Among provinces (ST) | 0.03645 | 0.052 | 5.2 |
| Among provinces within a region (SC) | 0.04533 | 0.064 | 6.5 |

F-statistics (Fxy) are indicated for each level of hierarchical population structure (Wright, 1978).

The Fisher exact test for population differentiation revealed significant and marginally significant genetic differences between provinces in the Chaqueña (P = 0.0031) and Las Yungas (P = 0.082) biogeographical regions (Figure 3; Table 5).

The 'pairwise differentiation test' for province and sampling site comparisons shows the amount of significant differentiation between provinces (Figure 3A) and sampling sites (Figure 3B) in relation to each population; SF,

A

ER, and SG provinces were the most differentiated, and FS, JU, and SA provinces were the least differentiated. Sampling sites SF, CO1, and LRB were the most differentiated, whereas TU2, JUY, and SA were the least differentiated. Differentiation within provinces was not observed in ER, JU, LR, ME, and TU, but significant differentiation was found between CO sites (CO1 and CO2). In addition, sampling site CO2 was different from its neighboring ER sites (ER1 and ER2) (Table 5).

The average number of insects exchanged among worldwide populations (Nm) was estimated. Comparisons were performed between the Argentinean population (mean frequencies) and other populations reported by Gomulski et al. (1998). High and moderate values of Nm were observed between Argentina and both African populations, Morocco (54.71) and Kenya (5.60). Significant numbers of migrants per generation were also found between Argentina and the Australian (4.19) and Hawaiian (Kauai 3.89) populations. The comparison with populations of the Mediterranean region showed a relative low Nm value (1.93).

Discussion

Ceratitis capitata is a colonizing species that has spread from its putative source area in Africa to many countries in four main geographic regions: the Mediterranean basin, South and Central America, Australia, and the Pacific basin. Colonization processes have been analyzed using several molecular markers (Gasperi et al., 1991; Malacrida et al., 1992, 1996, 1998, 2007; Gasparich et al., 1995; Gomulski et al., 1998; Lanzavecchia et al., 2008). Some authors suggest that medfly populations could be divided according to the colonization patterns in three main groups: ancestral, ancient, and New World populations (Malacrida et al., 1998).

Variations in nuclear introns have previously been used to analyze medfly population structure and colonizing processes (Gomulski et al., 1998, 2004; Villablanca et al.,

SF

SG ER1

CD

СН

CO2

FR2

В

CO1 FR LRB . IU Jl SG SA BA SA ME TU1 ΒA LR RN ME2 CA тυ ME 'nN LR CO 113 CD CA

SF



| | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | 13 | 14 | 15 | 16 | 17 | 18 | 19 | 20 | 21 | 22 | 23 |
|----------|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|---|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|----|
| (1) BA | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (2) CA | - | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (3) CD | - | - | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (4) CH | - | - | - | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (5) CO1 | - | - | + | + | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (6) CO2 | - | - | - | - | + | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (7) ER1 | - | - | - | - | - | + | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (8) ER2 | - | - | - | - | - | + | - | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (9) FS | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (10) JUP | - | - | - | - | + | - | - | - | - | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (11) JUY | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (12) LRB | - | + | - | + | + | - | + | + | + | - | - | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (13) LRC | - | - | - | - | + | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | | | | | | | | | | | |
| (14) ME1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | + | - | | | | | | | | | | |
| (15) ME2 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | + | - | - | | | | | | | | | |
| (16) MI | - | - | - | - | + | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | | | | | | | | |
| (17) RN | - | - | - | - | + | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | | | | | | | |
| (18) SA | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | | | | | | |
| (19) SF | + | + | + | + | + | - | + | + | + | + | - | + | + | + | + | + | + | + | | | | | |
| (20) SG | - | - | + | - | + | - | + | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | + | - | - | + | | | | |
| (21) SJ | - | - | - | - | + | - | - | - | - | - | - | + | - | - | - | - | - | - | + | - | | | |
| (22) TU1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | + | - | - | - | - | - | - | + | - | - | | |
| (23) TU2 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | |
| (24) TU3 | - | - | - | - | + | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | + | + | - | - | - |

 Table 5
 Fisher pairwise differentiation test between sampling sites of Ceratitis capitata from Argentina

(+) Significant differences (P<0.05) between sampling sites.

1998; Davies et al., 1999). The Adh_1 first intron varies extensively in medfly populations around the world with 18 reported size variants (Gomulski et al., 1998). Studying 307 insects from 24 wild populations of Argentina, we found only three Adh_1 size variants (2 515, 2 461, and 1 952) in agreement with the three variants described for Argentina by Gomulski et al. (1998).

At the sequence level, we found high similarities between the two smaller size variants (2 461 and 1 952) and the Be-2590 and Be-2060 variants described by Gomulski et al. (2004). The longest variant characterized here (2 515) has previously been described. We suggest that 2 515 corresponds to the variant 2 670 described by Gomulski et al. (1998).

When the relative allele frequencies of the three variants detected were analyzed, we found size variant 2 461 widely distributed at very high frequency (80%) in Argentina, similar to the allele frecuency found for variant 2 590 in populations around the world (Gomulski et al., 1998). This variant was also present in the putative African area of origin and in all colonized populations. Size variants 2 515 and 1 952 were detected at low frequencies (15 and 5%, respectively) within the populations studied here.

These values are similar to the allele frequencies of the 2 670 and 2 060 variants found for Argentina by Gomulski et al. (1998). Size variant 2 060 has previously been detected in Africa (0.03–0.47%) and in almost all colonized areas (except Australia), whereas size variant 2 590 was found in Africa (0.06–0.23%), Pacific populations (0.15–0.35%), and Australia (0.06–0.14%), but has not been detected in the Mediterranean basin (Gomulski et al., 1998). Differentiation of these size variants in colonization areas may be the result of genetic drift and/or bottlenecks. However, as suggested by Gomulski et al. (1998), we cannot exclude the possibility that during the colonization process some kind of selection was involved maintaining a subset of the ancestral intron variants.

Recently established populations generally present less variability than populations found in the geographic area where the species originated. Using the Adh_1 first intron as molecular marker, high levels of genetic variability have been detected in ancestral African populations (He = 0.61–0.93), whereas lower estimated values of genetic diversity have been found in ancient populations (old derived populations) from the Mediterranean basin and Australia (He = 0.09–0.30 and 0.11–0.25, respectively).

Argentinean populations exhibit moderate values of genetic diversity (He = 0.33), suggesting a more complex colonization process in agreement with studies with mitochondrial markers (Lanzavecchia et al., 2008).

Most of the populations (sampling sites) studied here exhibited Hardy–Weinberg equilibrium. Only 3 out of the 24 sampling sites analyzed exhibited deviations from the expected values. In these cases, an excess of homozygous individuals suggests the existence of a population sub-structure. Natural populations of *C. capitata* do not occupy a uniform environment. Rather, ephemeral and heterogeneous patches (the fruit) are used as breeding and feeding sites by small number of individuals (Civetta et al., 1990). The grouping of individuals in a delimited area (sampling site) could lead to population stratification.

The AMOVA showed that pest distribution is not associated with a biogeographical pattern and detected a significant differentiation between provinces and within populations. The absence of genetic differentiation at the biogeographical level might be explained by the high genetic differentiation between provinces within each biogeographical region, suggesting stochastic processes molding genetic variation of each population.

Analysis of allelic frequencies through pairwise comparisons revealed that populations from Santa Fe were the most differentiated. Significant differentiation was detected between both Entre Ríos sampling sites (ER1 and ER2) and the CO2 site. Additionally, CO1 and CO2, two sampling sites from the same province (Corrientes), are genetically differentiated. These results suggest that environmental, bioecological, and commercial factors may contribute to differential distribution of allele frequencies in neighbor sampling sites. The sampling site in the province of Buenos Aires did not show any significant differences with other sampling sites, probably because of the fact that Buenos Aires is the center of the commercial route in Argentina. The most important trade routes of fruit and vegetables are BA-ME, BA-ER, ME-TU, and CO-TU (ISC-AMEN, 1997, 2000, 2001-2002). According to our results and previous ones (Bertin et al., 2007; Malacrida et al., 2007), we can conclude that transport and other human activities are very important in the dispersion of pests and other insect species both within Argentina and throughout the world.

The genetic variability distribution analyzed here was compared with mitochondrial haplotype variation (PCR-RFLP) obtained for the same populations from Argentina (Lanzavecchia et al., 2008). To this end, we re-analyzed the mitochondrial data at the biogeographical level. The analysis of molecular variance did not reveal significant differences between biogeographical regions ($F_{CT} = 0.77$, P = 0.23), whereas significant differences were detected among provinces and sampling sites ($F_{SC} = 6.69$, P<0.0001: $F_{ST} = 92.54$, P<0.0001, respectively).

Genetic differentiation patterns obtained using mitochondrial and nuclear markers showed similar genetic differentiation between provinces and within populations. However, the pairwise differentiation test for mtDNA (data not shown) rendered 76 out of 136 significant differences, whereas according to the data presented here (Table 5), 46 out of 276 pairwise comparisons rendered significant differences for the Adh_1 first intron. In some insect species, analysis of nuclear and mtDNA genes revealed different variation patterns (Kim & Sappington, 2004; Sesarini & Remis, 2008). The higher level of genetic differentiation among pairs of sampling sites detected with a maternally inherited marker may be partially explained by the high rate of evolutionary change of the mtDNA or by sex-biased disersal.

The average number of migrants exchanged between colonized areas in Argentina and putative ancestral and older derived areas (described by Gomulski et al., 1998) revealed that Argentinean populations of C. capitata maintain relatively high levels of gene flow with Kenyan and Moroccan populations, suggesting that there are infestation sources for the Argentinean populations. Moreover, these populations showed high Nm values with populations of Australia and Hawaii. The relatively low genetic differentiation between Argentinean population and these putative source areas can be attributed to high gene flow per se or to shared ancestral polymorphisms. Because Argentinean populations were recently established, the second hypothesis might be more likely. The high levels of gene flow between Argentina and Kenya and Morocco found by Gomulski et al. (1998) are related to the critical trade routes to and from the Mediterranean basin and the Americas and Pacific area. Additionally, Malacrida et al. (2007) indicate that more recent and rapid pest expansion to Latin America and the Pacific appears to be a consequence of the opening of new trade routes and increasing human mobility. The lack of information regarding the distribution of Adh₁-intron1 alleles in neighboring countries prevents further inferences on pest dispersal patterns in Latin America.

Acknowledgments

We are indebted to María Teresa Vera, Diego Segura, and the local voluntary collectors for their important effort in the sampling. We thank Irma Fuxan and Nanci Lopez from IMYZA-INTA for sequencing support. This work was financially supported by FONCYT-ANPCYT and ISC-AMEN, through Grant PID 0615 to JLC and ROZ.

References

- Altschul SF, Gish W, Willer W, Myers EW & Lipman DJ (1990) Basic local alignment search tool. Journal of Molecular Biology 215: 403–410.
- Baruffi L, Damiani G, Guglielmino C, Bandi C, Malacrida A & Gasperi G (1995) Polymorphism within and between populations of *Ceratitis capitata*. Comparison between RAPD and multilocus enzyme electrophoretic data. Heredity 74: 524–437.
- Bertin S, Guglielmino C, Karam N, Gomulski L, Malacrida A & Gasperi G (2007) Diffusion of the Nearctic leafhopper *Scaphoideus titanus* Ball in Europe: a consequence of human trading activity. Genetica 131: 275–285.
- Cabrera AL & Willink A (1980) Biogeografía de América Latina, 2nd edn. Colección de Monografías Científicas de la Secretaría General de la Organización de los Estados Americanos, Programa Regional de Desarrollo Científico y Tecnológico, Washington, DC, USA.
- Carey JR (1991) Establishment of the Mediterranean fruit fly in California. Science 253: 1369–1373.
- Civetta A, Vilardi JC, Saidman B, Leanza C & Cladera J (1990) Estimation of the number of ovopositing females per fruit in the Mediterranean fruit fly, *Ceratitis capitata* Wied. (Diptera: Tephritidae). Heredity 65: 59–66.
- Cosenzo E (2003) PROCEM Litoral. Implementación de zonas piloto para el manejo de mosca de los frutos. Taller de mosca de los frutos. PROCEM-SENASA, 24–26 Marzo, Buenos Aires, Argentina.
- Davies N, Villablanca F & Roderick G (1999) Bioinvasions of medfly *Ceratitis capitata*: source estimations using DNA sequence at multiple intron loci. Genetics 153: 351–360.
- Excoffier L, Smouse P & Quattro J (1992) Analysis of molecular variance inferred from metric distances among DNA haplotypes: application to human mitochondrial DNA restriction data. Genetics 131: 479–491.
- Gasparich G, Sheppard W, Han H, McPheron B & Steck J (1995) Analysis of mitochondrial DNA and development of PCRbased diagnostic molecular markers for Mediterranean fruit fly (*Ceratitis capitata*) populations. Insect Molecular Biology 4: 61–67.
- Gasperi G, Guglielmino C, Malacrida A & Milani R (1991) Genetic variability and gene flow in geographical populations of *Ceratitis capitata* (Wied.) (medfly). Heredity 67: 347–356.
- Gasperi G, Baruffi L, Malacrida A & Robinson AS (1992) A biochemical genetic study of alcohol dehydrogenase isoenzymes of the medfly, *Ceratitis capitata* Wied. Biochemical Genetics 30: 289–304.
- Gasperi G, Kafetzopoulos D, Christodoulidou A, Bouriotis V & Savakis C (1994) Isolation and partial characterization of two alcohol dehydrogenase isozymes from the medfly *Ceratitis capitata*. Insect Biochemistry and Molecular Biology 24: 87–94.
- Gomulski L, Torti C, Malacrida A & Gasperi G (1997) Ccmar 1, a full-length mariner element from the Mediterranean fruit fly, *Ceratitis capitata*. Insect Molecular Biology 6: 241–253.
- Gomulski L, Bourtzis K, Brogna S, Morandi P, Bonvici C et al. (1998) Intron size polymorphism of the Adh1 gene parallels

the worldwide colonization history of the Mediterranean fruit fly, *Ceratitis capitata*. Molecular Ecology 7: 1729–1741.

- Gomulski LM, Brogna S, Babaratsas A, Gasperi G, Zacharopoulou A et al. (2004) Molecular basis of the size polymorphism of the first intron of the Adh-1 gene of the Mediterranean fruit fly, *Ceratitis capitata*. Journal of Molecular Evolution 58: 732– 742.
- Guo S & Thompson E (1992) Performing the exact test of Hardy– Weinberg proportion for multiple alleles. Biometrics 48: 361– 372.
- Hall TA (1999) BioEdit: a user-friendly biological sequence alignment [ed.], and analysis program for Windows 95/98/NT. Nucleic Acids Symposium Series 41: 95–98.
- He M & Haymer DS (1997) Polymorphic intron sequences detected within and between populations of the Oriental Fruit Fly (Diptera: Tephritidae). Annals of the Entomological Society of America 90: 825–831.
- ISCAMEN (1997) Instituto de Calidad Agropecuaria de Mendoza, Memoria Anual. Gobierno de Mendoza, Mendoza, Argentina.
- ISCAMEN (2000) Instituto de Calidad Agropecuaria de Mendoza, Memoria Anual. Gobierno de Mendoza, Mendoza, Argentina.
- ISCAMEN (2001-2002) Instituto de Calidad Agropecuaria de Mendoza, Memoria Anual. Gobierno de Mendoza, Mendoza, Argentina.
- Kim KS & Sappington TW (2004) Genetic structuring of boll weevil populations in the US based on RAPD markers. Insect Molecular Biology 13: 293–303.
- Knipling E (1959) Sterile-male method of population control. Science 130: 902–904.
- Lanzavecchia S, Faccio P, Petit N, Cladera J & Zandomeni R (2008) Origin and distribution of *Ceratitis capitata* mtDNA haplotypes in Argentina. Annals of the Entomological Society of America 101: 627–638.
- Lessa E & Applebaum G (1993) Screening techniques for detecting allelic variation in DNA sequences. Molecular Ecology 2: 119–129.
- Liquido N, Shinoda LA & Cunningham R (1991) Host and plants of Mediterranean fruit fly (Diptera: Tephritidae): an annotated world review. Miscellaneous Publications of the Entomological Society of America 77: 1–52.
- Malacrida AR, Guglielmino CR, Gasperi G, Baruffi L & Milani R (1992) Spatial and temporal differentiation in colonizing populations of *Ceratitis capitata*. Heredity 69: 101–111.
- Malacrida AR, Guglielmino CR, D'Adamo P, Torti C, Marinoni F & Gasperi G (1996) Allozyme divergence and phylogenetic relationships among species of tephritid flies. Heredity 76: 592–602.
- Malacrida AR, Marinoni F, Torti C, Gomulski LM, Sebastiani F & Gasperi G (1998) Genetic aspects of the worldwide colonization process of *Ceratitis capitata*. Journal of Heredity 89: 501– 507.
- Malacrida AR, Gomulski LM, Bonizzoni M, Bertin S, Gasperi G & Guglielmino C (2007) Globalization and fruitfly invasion and expansion: the medfly paradigm. Genetica 131: 1–13.

- Malavasi A & Mangan R (2004) Technical Advisory Commitee. Fruit Fly Program, Buenos Aires, Argentina.
- Miller MP (1997) Tools for population genetic analyses (TFPGA) Ver. 1.3 A windows program for the analysis of allozyme and molecular population genetic data. http://evolution.genetics. washington.edu/phylip/software.html
- Nei M (1987) Molecular Evolutionary Genetics. Columbia University Press, New York, NY, USA.
- Palumbi SR & Baker CS (1994) Contrasting population structure from nuclear intron sequences and mtDNA of humpback whales. Molecular Biology and Evolution 11: 426– 435.
- Robinson AS, Riva ME & Zapater M (1986) Genetic sexing in *Ceratitis capitata* using the alcohol dehydrogenase locus. Theoretical and Applied Genetics 72: 453–457.
- Robinson AS, Savakis C & Louis C (1988) Status of molecular genetic studies in the medfly *Ceratitis capitata*, in relation to genetic sexing. Modern Insect Control: Nuclear Techniques and Biotechnology, (ed. by Louis C), pp. 241–250. International Atomic Energy Agency, Vienna, Austria.
- Sambrook J, Fritsch E & Maniatis T (1989) Molecular Cloning. A Laboratory Manual. Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory Press, Cold Spring Harbor, NY, USA.
- Schneider S, Roessli D & Excoffier L (2000) Arlequin ver. 2000. http://anthropologie.unige.ch/arlequin/
- Segura DF, Vera MT, Cagnotti BC, Vaccaro N, De Coll O et al. (2006) Relative abundance of *Ceratitis capitata* and *Anastrepha fraterculus* (Diptera: Tephritidae) in diverse host species and localities of Argentina. Annals of the Entomological Society of America 99: 70–83.

- Sesarini M & Remis MI (2008) Molecular and morphometric variation in chromosomally differentiated populations of the grasshopper *Sinipta dalmani* (Orthopthera: Acrididae). Genetica 133: 295–306.
- Slade R, Moritz C, Heideman A & Hale P (1993) Rapid assessment of single-copy nuclear DNA variation in diverse species. Molecular Ecology 2: 359–373.
- Slatkin M (1985) Rare alleles as indicators of gene flow. Evolution 39: 53–65.
- Staden R (1996) The staden sequence analysis package. Molecular Biotechnology 5: 233–241. http://staden.sourceforge.net
- StatSoft (2001) STATISTICA (Data Analysis Software System), version 6. http://www.statsoft.com
- Swofford DL & Selander RB (1981) Biosys-1: a Fortran program for the comprehensive analysis of data in population genetics and systematics. Journal of Heredity 71: 281–283.
- Thompson JD, Gibson TJ, Plewniak F, Jeanmougin F & Higgins DG (1997) The ClustalX windows interface: flexible strategies for multiple sequence alignment aided by quality analysis tools. Nucleic Acids Research 24: 4876–4882.
- Vergani AR (1952) La Mosca de la Fruta. Boletín No. 3, Estación Experimental de Concordia, Concordia Entre Ríos, Argentina.
- Villablanca F, Roderick G & Palumbi S (1998) Invasion genetics of Mediterranean fruit fly: variation in multiple nuclear introns. Molecular Ecology 7: 547–560.
- Wright S (1951) The genetical structure of populations. Annals of Eugenics 15: 323–354.
- Wright S (1978) Evolution and the Genetics of Populations, vol. 4, Variability Within and Among Natural Populations. University of Chicago Press, Chicago, IL, USA.