

The uniqueness of the energy momentum tensor in non-Abelian gauge field theories

R. J. Noriega, and C. G. Schifini

Citation: *Journal of Mathematical Physics* **30**, 2671 (1989); doi: 10.1063/1.528498

View online: <https://doi.org/10.1063/1.528498>

View Table of Contents: <http://aip.scitation.org/toc/jmp/30/11>

Published by the *American Institute of Physics*



Don't let your writing
keep you from getting
published!

AIP | Author Services

Learn more today!

The uniqueness of the energy momentum tensor in non-Abelian gauge field theories

R. J. Noriega

Departamento de Matemática, Facultad de Ciencias Exactas y Naturales, Universidad de Buenos Aires, Buenos Aires, Argentina

C. G. Schifini

Departamento de Matemática, Facultad de Ciencias Exactas y Naturales, Universidad de Buenos Aires, Buenos Aires, Argentina, and CONICET, Consejo Nacional de Investigaciones Científicas y Técnicas, Argentina

(Received 28 June 1988; accepted for publication 24 May 1989)

The uniqueness of the energy momentum tensor in non-Abelian gauge field theories is established under minimal hypothesis.

I. INTRODUCTION

In the general theory of relativity, the interaction of the gravitational field (characterized by a metric tensor g_{ij}) and a source-free gauge field (characterized by a curvature form F_{ij}^α ; see Ref. 1 for definitions and notations) is assumed to be governed by the Einstein–Yang–Mills field equations

$$R^{ij} - \frac{1}{2}g^{ij}R = B_{\alpha\beta}(F^{\alpha i}_k F^{\beta jk} - \frac{1}{2}g^{ij}F^{\alpha hk}F^{\beta}_{hk}), \quad (1)$$

$$F^{\alpha ij}_{||j} = 0, \quad (2)$$

where $B_{\alpha\beta}$ are the coefficients of a bilinear symmetric form in LG , the Lie algebra of the Lie group G , which are $\text{Ad } G$ invariant, i.e., $B_{\alpha\beta} = \text{Ad}_\alpha^\gamma(a)\text{Ad}_\beta^\eta(a)B_{\gamma\eta}$ for all a in G . Besides, the covariant gauge derivative of F_{ij}^α is defined as

$$F^{\alpha}_{ij||h} = F^{\alpha}_{ij,h} - \Gamma^s_{ih}F^{\alpha}_{sj} - \Gamma^s_{jh}F^{\alpha}_{is} + C^{\alpha}_{\beta\gamma}A^{\beta}_h F^{\alpha}_{ij}\gamma, \quad (3)$$

where $C^{\alpha}_{\beta\gamma}$ are the structure constants of the Lie group and A^{β}_h are the gauge potentials (see Ref. 1 or Ref. 2) related to the curvature form by

$$F^{\alpha}_{ij} = A^{\alpha}_{j,i} - A^{\alpha}_{i,j} + C^{\alpha}_{\beta\gamma}A^{\beta}_i A^{\gamma}_j. \quad (4)$$

It is easy to see that with these definitions, the following identity holds:

$$F^{\alpha}_{ij||h} + F^{\alpha}_{jh||i} + F^{\alpha}_{hi||j} = 0. \quad (5)$$

Since the Einstein tensor given by the left-hand side of (1) is divergence-free, the same must be true for $T^j_{||j}$, the right-hand side of (1). This is the case because of the identity

$$T^j_{||j} = \beta_{\alpha\beta} F^{\alpha ih} F^{\beta}_{h||j}, \quad (6)$$

and Eq. (2). For any T^{ij} in the right-hand side it must be true that $T^{ij}_{||j} = 0$, at least when (2) holds. In other words, it must be true that

$$F^{\alpha ij}_{||j} = 0 \Rightarrow T^{ij}_{||j} = 0. \quad (7)$$

The uniqueness of the energy momentum tensor was established recently¹ under the restrictive hypothesis $T^{ij}_{||j} = C_{\alpha\beta} H^{\beta jr} F^{\alpha}_{r||i}$. Clearly (7) is weaker and it is mandatory because of (1) and (2). In this paper we will prove that $T^j_{||j}$ is essentially the only solution to the following problem: to find all gauge invariant symmetric tensors $T^{ij} = T^{ij}(g_{hk}; F^{\alpha}_{hk})$ such that (7) holds. Our result generalizes Ref. 3.

We want to point out that, due to the condition (7), one cannot generate energy momentum tensors by adding terms to the action.

II. CONSEQUENCES OF THE IMPLICATION (7)

We will work in a coordinate system for which $(g_{ij}) = \text{diag}(-1, 1, 1, 1)$ and $g_{ij,h} = 0$ (which implies $\Gamma^i_{jk} = 0$). Then (2) reads

$$F^{\beta}_{1k||1} = F^{\beta}_{2k||2} + F^{\beta}_{3k||3} + F^{\beta}_{4k||4}. \quad (8)$$

It is easy to see that

$$T^{ij}_{||j} = \frac{\partial T^{ij}}{\partial F^{\beta}_{hk}} F^{\beta}_{hk||j} = T^{ijhk}_{\beta} F^{\beta}_{hk||j}, \quad (9)$$

because of the gauge invariance of T^{ij} and its tensorial character. Then $T^{ij}_{||j} = 0$ written out in full in the above mentioned coordinate system is

$$\begin{aligned} & (T^{\beta}_{223} + T^{\beta}_{113})F^{\beta}_{23||2} + (T^{\beta}_{224} + T^{\beta}_{114})F^{\beta}_{24||2} + (T^{\beta}_{233} - T^{\beta}_{112})F^{\beta}_{23||3} + (T^{\beta}_{334} + T^{\beta}_{114})F^{\beta}_{34||3} + (T^{\beta}_{424} - T^{\beta}_{112})F^{\beta}_{24||4} \\ & + (T^{\beta}_{434} - T^{\beta}_{113})F^{\beta}_{34||4} + (T^{\beta}_{123} + T^{\beta}_{213})F^{\beta}_{23||1} + (T^{\beta}_{112} + T^{\beta}_{213})F^{\beta}_{12||3} + (T^{\beta}_{133} - T^{\beta}_{212})F^{\beta}_{13||3} \\ & + (T^{\beta}_{124} + T^{\beta}_{214})F^{\beta}_{24||1} + (T^{\beta}_{412} + T^{\beta}_{214})F^{\beta}_{12||4} + (T^{\beta}_{414} - T^{\beta}_{212})F^{\beta}_{14||4} + (T^{\beta}_{134} + T^{\beta}_{214})F^{\beta}_{34||1} \\ & + (T^{\beta}_{413} + T^{\beta}_{314})F^{\beta}_{13||4} + (T^{\beta}_{234} + T^{\beta}_{324})F^{\beta}_{34||2} + (T^{\beta}_{423} + T^{\beta}_{324})F^{\beta}_{23||4} = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

Let us choose, for arbitrary but fixed g_{hk} , F_{hk}^α , the derivatives $F_{ij,h}^\beta$ such that (8) holds.

Taking account of (8) and (5), it is clear that the $F_{ij|h}^\beta$ appearing in (10) are arbitrary and independent. Then we deduce

$$\begin{aligned} T_\beta^{i313} - T_\beta^{i212} &= T_\beta^{i414} - T_\beta^{i212} = T_\beta^{i223} + T_\beta^{i113} = T_\beta^{i224} + T_\beta^{i114} = T_\beta^{i323} - T_\beta^{i112} = T_\beta^{i334} + T_\beta^{i114} \\ &= T_\beta^{i424} - T_\beta^{i112} = T_\beta^{i434} - T_\beta^{i113} = T_\beta^{i123} + T_\beta^{i213} = T_\beta^{i312} + T_\beta^{i213} = T_\beta^{i124} + T_\beta^{i214} = T_\beta^{i412} + T_\beta^{i214} \\ &= T_\beta^{i134} + T_\beta^{i314} = T_\beta^{i413} + T_\beta^{i314} = T_\beta^{i234} + T_\beta^{i324} = T_\beta^{i423} + T_\beta^{i324} = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

Taking $i = 1, 2, 3, 4$ in (11), a tedious but straightforward computation proves that

$$1212 = 1313 = 1414 = 2323 = 2424 = 3434 = 1234 = 1324 = 1423 = 2314 = 2413 = 3412 = 0, \quad (12)$$

$$1323 = 1424 = -2212 = -2313 = -2414 = 3312 = 4412 = 1112, \quad (13)$$

$$-1223 = 1434 = 2213 = -2312 = -3313 = -3414 = 4413 = 1113, \quad (14)$$

$$-1224 = -1334 = 2214 = -2412 = 3314 = -3413 = -4414 = 1114, \quad (15)$$

$$-1213 = 1312 = 2223 = -2434 = 3323 = 3424 = -4423 = 1123, \quad (16)$$

$$-1214 = 1412 = 2224 = 2334 = -3324 = 3423 = 4424 = 1124, \quad (17)$$

$$-1314 = 1413 = -2234 = 2324 = -2423 = 3334 = 4434 = 1134, \quad (18)$$

where, for the sake of simplicity, we have used the notation

$$T_\beta^{ijk} = ijk$$

for a fixed β .

III. THE UNIQUENESS OF THE ENERGY MOMENTUM TENSOR

Let us denote, for fixed α, β , and γ ,

$$T^{ijkrslm} = \frac{\partial^3 T^{ij}}{\partial F_{hk}^\alpha \partial F_{rs}^\beta \partial F_{lm}^\gamma}.$$

We will prove that all these derivatives are zero. From (12)–(18) it is clear that it is enough to consider the cases $ijk = 1213, 1214, 1223, 1224, 1323, 1314$.

(i) *The case $ijk = 1213$:* It is clear that $T^{1213rslm} = 0$ except perhaps for $(r,s) \neq (1,2) \neq (l,m)$ and $(r,s) \neq (3,4) \neq (l,m)$. Since $|T_\beta^{ijk}| = |T_\beta^{hkl}|$ for $i \neq j$ and $h \neq k$ as a consequence of (12)–(18), then in this case all the pairs commute, and so it is enough to consider $(r,s) \neq (1,3) \neq (l,m) \neq (r,s)$, which leave us with the following cases:

$$(a) (r,s,l,m) = (1,4,2,3),$$

$$(b) (r,s,l,m) = (1,4,2,4),$$

$$(c) (r,s,l,m) = (2,3,2,4).$$

In case (a) using (16), (15), and $|T_\beta^{ijk}|$ for $i \neq j$ and $h \neq k$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} |T^{12131423}| &= |T^{33231423}| = |T^{33142323}| = |T^{24122323}| \\ &= |T^{23232412}| = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

In case (b) we have

$$|T^{12131424}| = |T^{13121424}| = |T^{13241214}| = 0. \quad (20)$$

Finally, in case (c) it is

$$|T^{12132324}| = |T^{13122324}| = |T^{13241223}| = 0, \quad (21)$$

where we have also used the equality of the cross derivatives.

We conclude that

$$T^{1213rslm} = 0 \quad \text{for all } r,s,l,m. \quad (22)$$

(ii) *The case $ijk = 1214$:* It is easy to see that $T^{1214rslm} = 0$ except perhaps for $(r,s) \neq (1,2) \neq (l,m) \neq (r,s)$, $(r,s) \neq (1,4) \neq (l,m) \neq (r,s)$, $(r,s) \neq (3,4) \neq (l,m) \neq (r,s)$, and $(r,s) \neq (2,3) \neq (l,m) \neq (r,s)$, which leaves us only with the case $T^{12141324}$, and this is zero because of (20). Then

$$T^{1213rslm} = 0 \quad \text{for all } r,s,l,m. \quad (23)$$

(iii) *The case $ijk = 1223$:* As in case (ii) $T^{1223rslm} = 0$ except perhaps for $(r,s) \neq (1,2)$, $(2,3)$, $(3,4)$, $(1,4) \neq (l,m)$, and $(r,s) \neq (l,m)$. This leaves us only with the case $T^{12231324}$ which is zero by (21). Then

$$T^{1223rslm} = 0 \quad \text{for all } r,s,l,m. \quad (24)$$

(iv) *The case $ijk = 1224$:* As before, it is enough to consider the cases $(r,s) \neq (1,2)$, $(2,4)$, $(3,4)$, $(1,3) \neq (l,m)$, and $(r,s) \neq (l,m)$. Then there is only the case $|T^{12241423}| = |T^{14231224}| = 0$. Then

$$T^{1224rslm} = 0 \quad \text{for all } r,s,l,m. \quad (25)$$

(v) *The case $ijk = 1323$:* It is enough to consider $(r,s) \neq (1,3)$, $(2,3)$, $(2,4)$, $(1,4) \neq (l,m)$, and $(r,s) \neq (l,m)$. Then $|T^{13231234}| = |T^{12341323}| = 0$. Thus

$$T^{1323rslm} = 0 \quad \text{for all } r,s,l,m. \quad (26)$$

(vi) *The case $ijk = 1314$:* It is enough to consider $(r,s) \neq (1,3)$, $(1,4)$, $(2,4)$, $(2,3) \neq (l,m)$, and $(r,s) \neq (l,m)$. But then $|T^{13141234}| = |T^{12341314}| = 0$, and so

$$T^{1314rslm} = 0 \quad \text{for all } r,s,l,m. \quad (27)$$

From (22)–(27) we conclude that

$$T^{ijkrslm} = 0 \quad \text{for all } i,j,h,k,r,s,l,m,$$

and so T^{ij} is a polynomial in F_{ij}^α of degree not greater than two.

Consequently

$$T^{ij} = A_{\epsilon\gamma}^{ijkrs}(g_{lm})F_{hk}^\epsilon F_{rs}^\gamma + B_\epsilon^{ijk}(g_{lm})F_{hk}^\epsilon + C^j(g_{lm}). \quad (28)$$

The tensorial concomitants of g_{lm} were recently found^{4,5} for any valence of the tensor. Taking account of the fact that we are dealing with all coordinate systems, and not merely with those belonging to an oriented atlas, then it follows that

$$T^{ij} = (d_{\alpha\beta} F^{\alpha hk} F^{\beta}_{hk} + \lambda) g^{ij} + \frac{1}{2} a_{\alpha\beta} (F^{\alpha i}_{i} F^{\beta jt} + F^{\alpha j}_{j} F^{\beta it}), \quad (29)$$

where $d_{\alpha\beta}$, λ , and $a_{\alpha\beta}$ are real numbers and $a_{\alpha\beta} = a_{\beta\alpha}$, $d_{\alpha\beta} = d_{\beta\alpha}$. Then

$$T^{ij} = (d_{\alpha\beta} F^{\alpha hk} F^{\beta}_{hk} + \lambda) g^{ij} + a_{\alpha\beta} F^{\alpha i}_{i} F^{\beta jt}. \quad (30)$$

Assuming $F^{\alpha i}_{i|j} = 0$, it follows that $T^{ij}|_j = 0$, and so, using the identity (5) to change indices, we have

$$[2d_{\alpha\beta} g^{ij} F^{\alpha hk} + \frac{1}{2} a_{\alpha\beta} g^{ij} F^{\alpha hk}] F^{\beta}_{hk|j} = 0. \quad (31)$$

It is easy to see that if $S^{\alpha ijk}$ is the term within brackets in (31) then, because of (12)–(18), we have

$$S^{\alpha ijk} g_{ij} = 0. \quad (32)$$

From (32) and the definition of $S^{\alpha ijk}$ it follows that

$$2 \cdot (4 d_{\alpha\beta} + a_{\alpha\beta}) F^{\alpha hk} = 0. \quad (33)$$

Differentiating (33) with respect to F^{α}_{hk} we obtain

$$(4d_{\alpha\beta} + a_{\alpha\beta})(g^{hr} g^{ks} - g^{kr} g^{hs}) = 0. \quad (34)$$

Multiplying (34) by $g_{hr} \cdot g_{ks}$ we deduce

$$d_{\alpha\beta} = -\frac{1}{4} a_{\alpha\beta}, \quad (35)$$

and so, replacing (33) in (30), it follows that

$$T^{ij} = a_{\alpha\beta} (F^{\alpha i}_{i} F^{\beta jt} - \frac{1}{4} g^{ij} F^{\alpha hk} F^{\beta}_{hk}) + \lambda g^{ij}. \quad (36)$$

It follows easily from the gauge invariance of T^{ij} that the $a_{\alpha\beta}$ are Ad G invariant.

In summary, we have proved the following.

Theorem: If $T^{ij} = T^{ij}(g_{hk}; F^{\alpha}_{hk})$ is a gauge invariant tensor whose divergence vanishes when the divergence of $F^{\alpha ij}$ is zero, and if $T^{ij} = T^{ji}$, then

$$T^{ij} = T_0^{ij} + \lambda g^{ij},$$

where T_0^{ij} is the usual energy momentum tensor.

¹R. J. Noriega and C. G. Schifini, *Int. J. Theor. Phys.* **24**, 1181 (1985).

²S. Kobayashi and K. Nomizu, *Foundations of Differential Geometry* (Wiley-Interscience, New York, 1963), Vol. I.

³B. Kerrighan, *J. Math. Phys.* **23**, 1979 (1982).

⁴R. J. Noriega and C. G. Schifini, *Gen. Relativ. Gravit.* **18**, 983 (1986).

⁵D. Prélat, "Tensorial concomitants of a metric and a covector," *Utilitas Math.* (in press).