Rapid Alignment of Velocity and Magnetic Field in Magnetohydrodynamic Turbulence

W. H. Matthaeus,1 A. Pouquet,2 P. D. Mininni,2,3 P. Dmitruk,1,3 and B. Breech1

1Bartol Research Institute and Department of Physics and Astronomy, University of Delaware, Newark, Delaware 18716, USA
2NCAR, P.O. Box 3000, Boulder, Colorado 80307-3000, USA
3Departamento de Física, Facultad de Ciencias Exactas y Naturales, Universidad de Buenos Aires, Ciudad Universitaria,
1428 Buenos Aires, Argentina

(Received 3 August 2007; published 27 February 2008)

We show that local directional alignment of the velocity and magnetic field fluctuations occurs rapidly in magnetohydrodynamics for a variety of parameters and is seen both in direct numerical simulations and in solar wind data. The phenomenon is due to an alignment between magnetic field and gradients of either pressure or kinetic energy, and is similar to alignment of velocity and vorticity in Navier-Stokes turbulence. This rapid and robust relaxation process leads to a local weakening of nonlinear terms.
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In magnetohydrodynamic (MHD) turbulence, the fluctuations of magnetic field \( b \) and velocity \( v \) enter on nearly equal footing. One consequence is that the nonlinear MHD equations are linearized when \( b \) (in Alfvén speed units) is everywhere equal (or opposite) to \( v \). Such “Alfvénic” states have a large cross-helicity (see below), or correlation between \( v \) and \( b \), and have long been thought to be favored in relaxation processes [1], and are observed in the inner solar wind [2,3]. Global evolution towards Alfvénic states, or “dynamic alignment” [4], requires many nonlinear eddy turnover times. Here we describe a related, rapid relaxation process, in which local, directional near alignment of \( v \) and \( b \) emerges in less than one turnover time, for a variety of turbulence parameters. This process need not be associated with global alignment, but rather occurs independently in localized patches.

Dynamic alignment competes with other MHD relaxation processes [5], and, for some parameters, it does not occur or is incomplete. Solar wind observations show that the magnitude of the correlation between \( v \) and \( b \), often called “Alfvénicity,” decreases at larger heliocentric distance [3], in apparent contradiction to the dynamic alignment principle. There are suggestions that directional alignment (a necessary condition for global dynamic alignment) may be more ubiquitous. MHD relaxation can be described by a variational principle [5] in which cross-helicity and a magnetic invariant (helicity in three dimensions (3D), or mean square flux function in two dimensions (2D)) are held constant, while energy is minimized. The emergent Euler-Lagrange equations predict final states, in both 2D and 3D, and for all parameters, in which \( v \) and \( b \) are directionally aligned or antialigned. This theory is well confirmed by numerical simulations [5]. These ideas have been extended to explain steady state MHD spectral properties [6]. Evidently, in the long time limit, pointwise directional alignment is obtained more easily than is the global Alfvénic state. Here we show using numerical experiments that local directional alignment is even more robust and occurs rapidly as well as in local patches. We also show that alignment in the solar wind is consistent with this picture. This rapid relaxation can be understood by examination of the MHD equations. These features have not been fully recognized previously, and are analogous to the local emergence of Beltrami flows [7] in hydrodynamics.

**MHD and Alfvénic states.**—In familiar dimensionless Alfvén speed units, the equations of incompressible MHD are

\[
\frac{\partial v}{\partial t} + v \cdot \nabla v = -\nabla P + j \times b + \nu \nabla^2 v, \tag{1}
\]

\[
\frac{\partial b}{\partial t} + v \cdot \nabla b = b \cdot \nabla v + \eta \nabla^2 b, \tag{2}
\]

with \( \nabla \cdot v = \nabla \cdot b = 0 \). Here, \( b = \nabla \times a \) with \( a \) the magnetic potential, the electric current density is \( j = \nabla \times b \), and \( P \) is the pressure. The viscosity \( \nu \) and resistivity \( \eta \) define mechanical and magnetic Reynolds numbers, respectively, as \( R_v = \ell U / \nu \) and \( R_m = \ell U / \eta \), with spatial average \( \langle \ldots \rangle \), \( U = \langle |v|^2 \rangle^{1/2} \), and \( L \) a length (integral scale) associated with the large-scale flow. The energy \( E = E_B + E_v = \langle |v|^2 + |b|^2 \rangle / 2 \), the cross-helicity \( H_c = \langle v \cdot b \rangle \), and the magnetic helicity \( H_m = \langle a \cdot b \rangle \) are 3D ideal (\( \nu = \eta = 0 \)) invariants. Dimensionless helicities are \( \sigma_c = 2H_c / E \) and \( \sigma_m = (E_L - E_R) / E_b \), where \( E_L \) and \( E_R \) are the energy in left- and right-handed magnetic polarizations, respectively.

**Simulations.**—We examine simulations (see Table I) of the MHD equations in a spatially periodic domain of side \( 2\pi \), using a second order Runge-Kutta method, and either 2/3-rule dealiased [8], or non-dealiased pseudospectral methods. All runs freely decay in time, with no external forcing. The type labeled RAN are 1283 incompressible runs, with random broadband initial conditions. Four cases have varying initial \( \sigma_m \) and \( \sigma_c \), spanning a range of possibilities starting from a fully random state.

We employ other initial conditions with controlled helicities and more ordered fields. Orszag-Tang (OT) runs are a generalization of the 2D OT vortex [9], a standard large-scale initial condition for MHD turbulence. In our OT case,
initially energies $E_v = E_b = 2$. The set of runs labeled ABC consists of a parametrized large-scale helical flow, an uncorrelated and helical large-scale magnetic field, and added noise with energy spectra $\sim k^{-3} \exp(-2(k/k_0)^2$ at $t = 0$, with $k_0 = N/6$ [10]. These runs have $E_v = E_b = 0.5$, $\sigma_c = 1 \times 10^{-4}$, and $\sigma_m = 0.5$. Finally we analyze a small region near a current sheet in a very high-Reynolds-number ABC run.

Probability density functions (PDFs).—Our main diagnostics are PDFs of the local cosine of the angle $\theta$ between $v$ and $b$

$$\cos \theta = \cos \angle(v, b) = \frac{v \cdot b}{|v||b|} \tag{3}$$

which are computed for each run. The PDFs for RAN2, shown in Fig. 1, at times $t = 0, 0.5, 1.0$, and 2.0, are highly peaked near $\cos \theta \approx 1$, much more so than would be needed to account for the cross-helicity (initially $\sigma_C = 0.5$, decaying to $\sigma_c = 0.24$ at $t = 2.0$). The more peaked curves are for the progressively later times. For RAN1, having no helicities (see Fig. 2), the PDFs are suppressed near $\cos \theta = 0$ and strongly peaked near $\cos \theta = \pm 1$. This indicates an enhanced probability of aligned or antialigned $v$ and $b$. This enhanced directional alignment occurs quickly and even when the global cross-helicity is $=0$; therefore, this process is distinct from long term or steady alignment discussed previously [4–6].

Results of RAN3 and RAN4, with $\sigma_m = 0.5$ (not shown) are almost indistinguishable from the corresponding case with $\sigma_m = 0$. The PDFs in the OT runs (also not shown) are asymmetric and strongly peaked at $\cos \theta = 1$, as in the RAN2 and RAN4 cases. For the ABC runs, with initial $\sigma_c = 0$, the PDFs peak at $\cos \theta = \pm 1$ after less than half a turnover time, following the pattern of the RAN runs. Figure 3 shows the PDFs from the ABC runs at the peak of dissipation ($t = 4$) for different Reynolds numbers. This local alignment process is fast in all cases, with substantial and apparently nearly saturated alignment occurring in less than one large-scale turnover time. No clear depen-

### Table 1. Parameters for runs RAN, OT, and ABC (see text):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Run</th>
<th>$N^3$ or $N^2$</th>
<th>$v = \eta$</th>
<th>$\sigma_c$</th>
<th>$\sigma_m$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RAN1</td>
<td>$128^3$</td>
<td>$2.5 \times 10^{-3}$</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RAN2</td>
<td>$128^3$</td>
<td>$2.5 \times 10^{-3}$</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RAN3</td>
<td>$128^3$</td>
<td>$2.5 \times 10^{-3}$</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RAN4</td>
<td>$128^3$</td>
<td>$2.5 \times 10^{-3}$</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OT1</td>
<td>$128^3$</td>
<td>$5 \times 10^{-3}$</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OT2</td>
<td>$256^3$</td>
<td>$1.5 \times 10^{-3}$</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OT3</td>
<td>$512^3$</td>
<td>$7.5 \times 10^{-4}$</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ABC1</td>
<td>$128^3$</td>
<td>$3 \times 10^{-3}$</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ABC2</td>
<td>$256^3$</td>
<td>$1.25 \times 10^{-3}$</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ABC3</td>
<td>$512^3$</td>
<td>$6 \times 10^{-4}$</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ABC4</td>
<td>$1536^3$</td>
<td>$2 \times 10^{-4}$</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2D</td>
<td>$1024^2$</td>
<td>$2.5 \times 10^{-4}$</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

FIG. 1. PDFs of $\cos \theta$ for initial normalized cross-helicity $\sigma_c = 0.5$ for run RAN2. Global normalized cross-helicity is 0.24 at $t = 2$. Different lines are for different times (see text).

FIG. 2. PDFs of $\cos \theta$ at times $t = 0$ (flat, dotted line), 0.5 (dash-dotted line), 1.0 (dashed line), 2 (solid line) for 3D run RAN1, $\sigma_c = 0$, $\sigma_m = 0$.

FIG. 3. PDFs of $\cos \theta$: runs ABC1 (solid line), ABC2 (dotted line), ABC3 (dashed line), ABC4 (dash-dotted line), at the peak of dissipation.
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local alignment. The first term on the right of Eq. (4) shows that global cross-helicity is an ideal invariant.
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velocity and magnetic fields have a flat $f(\cos\theta)$ distribution. For the coherent ABC flows, $\cos\theta$ peaks at 0 initially, while for the nonhelical RAN1 and RAN3 flows the distribution is initially flat. All cases evolve towards the characteristic shape that is highly peaked at $|\cos\theta| = 1$. In contrast, prior studies have shown that the distribution of the induced electric field, $-\mathbf{v} \times \mathbf{b}$, is accurately computed from Gaussian statistics, for both high and low cross-helicity, in simulations and in solar wind data [11]. What apparently accounts for the difference is that the induced EMF does not correspond to a conserved quantity, while the alignment angle is closely associated with the ideally conserved cross-helicity. The EMF can be accounted for using Gaussian statistics, but alignment, even of Gaussian fields, is a dynamical quantity constrained by the local transport and conservation, as implied by Eq. (4).

Note that Alfvén vortices [12], which are coherent structures predicted for reduced MHD, have been recently observed in space plasmas [13]; the generalized Alfvén condition found in these vortices corresponds to a local directional alignment. Evidently this type of robust alignment process may be influential in a variety of space and astrophysical plasmas as well as in neutral fluids.

We conclude that directional alignment is a rapid and robust process in turbulence. The magnetic and velocity fields respond to the local values of the shear and pressure gradients, essentially independently of the conditions at remote locations, leading to local alignment or antialignment. Figure 6 illustrates this localization or patchiness of the directional alignment, using a 2D MHD simulation (see also [14]). Since the alignment appears to be a universal and rapid process, it would not be surprising if coherent small scale structures in MHD turbulence are associated with it. Indeed, Fig. 4 is an example where coherent current sheets are observed to have maximum alignment between the velocity and the magnetic fields [10]; similarly the local $\mathbf{v} \cdot \mathbf{\omega}$ alignment may explain the slow return to full isotropy in fluid turbulence.
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